Chemical composition, antioxidant, anti-elastase, and anti-inflammatory activities of *Illicium anisatum* essential oil

JI-YOUNG KIM¹ SANG-SUK KIM² TAE-HEON OH² JONG SEOK BAIK² GWANPIL SONG¹ NAM HO LEE² CHANG-GU HYUN^{1*}

¹Research Group for Cosmetic Materials Jeju Biodiversity Research Institute (JBRI) Jeju Hi-Tech Industry Development Institute (HiDI) Jeju 699-943, Korea

²Department of Chemistry Cheju National University Jeju 690-756, Korea

Accepted June 24, 2009

The essential oil of air-dried *Illicium anisatum* (*Illiciaceae*), obtained by hydrodistillation was analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Fifty-two components were identified in the essential oil and the main component was eucalyptol (21.8 %). The antioxidant and anti-elastase activities of the essential oil were also investigated; the essential oil exhibited moderate DPPH scavenging and anti-elastase activities. To clarify the mechanism of the anti-inflammatory activities of I. anisatum essential oil (IAE), we evaluated whether it could modulate the production of nitric oxide (NO) and prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) by activated macrophages. The results indicate that IAE is an effective inhibitor of LPS-induced NO and PGE2 production in RAW 264.7 cells. These inhibitory activities were accompanied by dose-dependent decreases in the expression of iNOS and COX-2 proteins and iNOS and COX-2 mRNA. In order to determine whether IAE can be safely applied to human skin, the cytotoxic effects of IAE were determined by colorimetric MTT assays in human dermal fibroblast and keratinocyte HaCaT cells. IAE exhibited low cytotoxicity at 100 µg mL⁻¹. Based on these results, we suggest that IAE may be considered an anti-aging and anti-inflammatory candidate for cosmetic materials, but additional in vitro and in vivo tests have to be performed to prove its safety and efficacy.

Keywords: Illicium anisatum, Illicium religiosum, Illicium japonicum (Illiciaceae), chemical composition, cosmetics, DPPH, elastase, inflammation

Illicium is a genus of flowering plants comprising 42 species of evergreen shrubs and small trees, and is the sole genus in the family *Illiciaceae*. The species are native to the tropical and subtropical regions of eastern and southeastern Asia, southeastern North America and the West Indies. *Illicium anisatum* (also known as *I. religiosum*, *I. japonicum*, *shikimmi* and *skimmi*) is distributed throughout eastern Asia where it is used as an orna-

^{*} Correspondence; e-mail: cghyun@jejuhidi.or.kr

mental plant. It is also found in Jeju Island, Wan-do, and the southern parts of Korea. *I. anisatum* has a long history of use in folk medicine and is used as herbal tea to treat colicky pain in infants. However, it is scientifically recognized as highly poisonous and is therefore not fit for human consumption (1). Actually *I. anisatum* is a neurotoxic plant because it contains sesquiterpenic lactones. Recent studies have shown that various parts of *I. anisatum* possess the inhibitory activities of aldose reductase, lipase and glycation as well as antioxidant activity (2–4). The phenolic compounds of leaf oil include 1-allyl-2-methoxy-4,5-methylenedioxybenzene, 4-allyl-2,6-dimethoxyphenol, 1-allyl-3-methoxy-4-(3-methylbut-2-enyloxy) benzene (5). Anisatin, a potent GABA antagonist can also be extracted from *I. anisatum* (6). However, the biological activity of *L. anisatum* essential oil (IAE) has not been described. Therefore, the objectives of the present study were to identify the main constituents of IAE and to evaluate their biological activities such as their antioxidant, anti-elastase, and anti-inflammatory activities and cytotoxicities for application to human skin.

EXPERIMENTAL

Plant material

Voucher specimens were identified by Dr. G. Song and deposited in the herbarium of the Jeju Biodiversity Research Institute. The plant was identified immediately after collection (Joongmoon area of Jeju Island in November 2007) and air-dried at room temperature for later analysis.

Isolation of the essential oil

The air-dried leaves (1.4 kg) of *I. anisatum* were powdered and immersed in 4.0 L of distilled water in a Clevenger-type apparatus. The percentage yield of the oil from *I. anisatum* was 0.24 % (V/m). The oil was kept at 4 °C in a sealed brown vial. For the injection (splitless), 10 μ L of essential oil was diluted in 500 μ L of CH₂Cl₂, and 1 μ L of this diluted solution was injected.

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry

Gas chromatographic analyses were performed on a Hewlett-Packard 6890N gas chromatograph equipped with an apolar DB-1HT column (30 m \times 0.32 mm \times 0.1 μm) and a split-splitless injection port (splitless mode). The temperature was set at 40 °C for 5 min, ramped to 210 °C at 10 °C min $^{-1}$ and kept at 250 °C for 28 min. Compounds were identified by their retention indices on columns and by GC-MS using a Hewlett-Packard MSD 5975 mass spectrometer at 70 eV.

Determination of anti-oxidant activity

The antioxidant reaction was carried out in 99.8 % ethanol containing 0.1 mmol L⁻¹ DPPH and essential oil (0.625 mg mL⁻¹ to 10 mg mL⁻¹). The scavenging effect against

DPPH radical was assessed at room temperature for 10 min. The change in the absorbance at 517 nm was measured in a 96-well reader.

Elastase inhibition assay

The activity of porcine pancreatic elastase type IV (Sigma Chem. Co., USA) was examined using N-succinyl-Ala-Ala-Ala-p-nitroanilide as the substrate and by measuring the release of p-nitroaniline at 410 nm. The reaction was carried out in 200 mmol L^{-1} Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 0.2 mmol L^{-1} N-Suc-(Ala)₃-nitroanilide and 0.104 unit mL⁻¹ elastase. Essential oil (0.625 mg mL⁻¹ to 10 mg mL⁻¹) was added to the reaction mixture, and the elastase inhibition was assessed at 25 °C. The reaction mixture was pre-incubated for 10 min before addition of the substrate. The change in absorbance was measured at 410 nm in a 96-well reader.

Cell culture

The murine macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 was purchased from the Korean Cell Line Bank (KCLB; Korea). RAW 264.7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; GIBCO Inc., USA) supplemented with 100 U mL $^{-1}$ of penicillin, 100 μg mL $^{-1}$ of streptomycin and 10 % of fetal bovine serum (FBS, GIBCO Inc.). The cells were incubated in an atmosphere of 5 % CO₂ at 37 °C and were subcultured every 3 days.

Determination of nitric oxide (NO) production

After pre-incubation of RAW 264.7 cells $(1.5\times10^5~cells~mL^{-1})$ with lipopolysacharide (LPS, 1 $\mu g~mL^{-1}$) for 24 hours, the quantity of nitrite in the culture medium was measured as an indicator of NO production. Briefly, 100 μL of cell culture medium was mixed with 100 μL of Griess reagent (1 % sulfanilamide and 0.1 % naphthylethylenediamine dihydrochloride in 2.5 % phosphoric acid), the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 min, and the absorbance at 540 nm was measured in a microplate reader. Fresh culture medium was used as a blank in every experiment. The quantity of nitrite was determined from a sodium nitrite standard curve. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) cytotoxicity assay

RAW 264.7 cells (1.5×10^5 cells mL⁻¹) plated in 96-well plates were pre-incubated for 18 h and then treated with LPS ($1~\mu g~m L^{-1}$) plus aliquots of the essential oil (25, 50, and 100 $\mu g~m L^{-1}$) at 37 °C for 24 h. The release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from RAW 264.7 cells was used to detect cytotoxicity and was measured at the end of each proliferation experiment. LDH leakage is a means of measuring membrane integrity as a function of the amount of cytoplasmic LDH released from the cytosol into the medium. LDH activity was determined from the production of NADH during the conversion of lactate to pyruvate (7, 8) and was measured using an LDH cytotoxicity detection kit (Promega, USA). Briefly, the culture medium was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 3 min at room temperature. The cell-free culture medium ($50~\mu L$) was then collected and incubated with $50~\mu L$ of the reaction mixture from the cytotoxicity detection kit for 30 min at

room temperature in the dark. 1 mol mL $^{-1}$ HCl (50 μ L) was added to each well to stop the enzymatic reaction. The optical density of the solution at a wavelength of 490 nm was then measured using an ELISA plate reader. Percent cytotoxicity was determined relative to the control group. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

Determination of PGE₂ production

Cells were treated with LPS (1 μg mL⁻¹) for 24 h to allow cytokine production. The PGE₂ concentration in the culture medium was quantified using a competitive enzyme immunoassay kit (R&D Systems, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The production of PGE₂ was measured relative to that following control treatment. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

RNA isolation and RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA from LPS-treated RAW 264.7 cells was prepared with Tri-Reagent (MRC, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. RNA was stored at -70 °C until use. One μg RNA was reverse transcribed with M-MuLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, USA), oligo dT-18 primer, dNTP ($0.5 \mu mol mL^{-1}$) and 1 U RNase inhibitor. The reaction cocktail was sequentially incubated at 70 °C for 5 min, 25 °C for 5 min, and 37 °C for 60 min, and M-MuLV reverse transcriptase was then inactivated by heating at 70 °C for 10 min. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed in reaction buffer [cDNA, 1.25 U Tag DNA polymerase (Promega), 3'- and 5'-primer (50 μ mol mL⁻¹ each) and 200 mmol mL⁻¹ dNTP in 200 mmol mL⁻¹ Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.4) containing 500 mmol mL⁻¹ KCl and 1–4 mmol mL⁻¹ MgCl₂]. PCR was performed in a DNA gene cycler (BIO-RAD, USA) with amplification for 30 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s (denaturing), 60–65 °C for 45 s (annealing) and 72 °C for 1 min (primer extension). The primers used in this study were: β -actin (forward primer 5'-GTGGGCCGCCCTAGGCACCAG-3' and reverse primer 5'-GGAGGAAGAGGATGC-GGCAGT-3'), iNOS (forward primer 5'-CCCTTCCGAAGTTTCTGGCAGCAGC-3' and reverse primer 5'-GGCTGTCAGAGCCTCGTGGCTTTGG-3'), COX-2 (forward primer 5'-CACTACATCCTGACCCACTT-3' and reverse primer 5'-ATGCTCCTGCTTGAGTATGT--3'). PCR products were electrophoresed in 1.5 % agarose gels and stained with ethidium bromide. The β-actin, iNOS and COX-2 primers produced the expected amplified products of 603, 496 and 696 bp, respectively.

Immunoblotting

RAW 264.7 cells were pre-incubated for 18 h and then stimulated with LPS (1 μg mL $^{-1}$) in the presence of test materials for 24 h. After incubation, the cells were collected and washed twice with cold PBS (phosphate-buffered saline). Cells were lysed in lysis buffer [50 mmol mL $^{-1}$ Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mmol mL $^{-1}$ NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 2 mmol mL $^{-1}$ EDTA, 1 mmol mL $^{-1}$ EGTA, 10 mmol mL $^{-1}$ NaF, 1 mmol mL $^{-1}$ dithiothreitol, 1 mmol mL $^{-1}$ phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 25 μg mL $^{-1}$ aprotinin, 25 μg mL $^{-1}$ leupeptin] and kept on ice for 30 min. The cell lysates were centrifuged at 12000×g at 4 °C for 15 min and the supernatants were stored at -70 °C until use. Protein concentration was measured by the Bradford method (9). Aliquots of the lysates (30–50 μg of protein) were separated on 8–12 % SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF)

membranes (BIO-RAD) with glycine transfer buffer [192 mmol mL $^{-1}$ glycine, 25 mmol mL $^{-1}$ Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 20 % MeOH (V/V)]. After blocking nonspecific sites with 5 % nonfat dried milk, the membranes were incubated with specific primary mouse monoclonal anti-iNOS Ab (1:1000, Calbiochem, USA) or rabbit polyclonal anti-COX-2 Ab (1:1000, BD Biosciences Pharmingen, USA) at 4 °C overnight. Each membrane was further incubated for 30 min with a secondary peroxidase-conjugated goat IgG (1:5000, Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, UK) to mouse or rabbit, respectively. Immunoactive proteins were detected using an enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) Western blotting detection kit (Amersham Biosciences, USA).

Cytotoxicity assay of I. anisatum essential oil

Human normal fibroblast and HaCaT cells were cultured in DMEM medium containing 10 % fetal bovine serum and 1 % penicillin-streptomycin at 37 °C in a humidified 95 % air/5 % CO₂ atmosphere. Cells were seeded on 96-well plates and drug treatment began 24 h after seeding. The general viability of cultured cells was determined by the 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay, in which MTT was reduced to formazan in viable cells. After human normal fibroblast and HaCaT cells were incubated with various concentrations of IAE for 48 h at 37 °C in a 5 % CO₂ atmosphere, MTT (1 mg mL⁻¹ in PBS) was added to each well in a 1/10 volume of medium. Cells were incubated at 37 °C for 3 h, and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) was added to dissolve the formazan crystals. The absorbance was then measured at 570 nm with a spectrophotometer (PowerWave X340, Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc., USA).

Statistical analysis

Student's *t*-test and one-way ANOVA were used to determine the statistical significance of differences between the values for the various experimental and control groups. Data are expressed as mean × standard errors (SEM) and the results were taken from at least three independent experiments performed in triplicate.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemical composition of the essential oil

The general chemical profile of the essential oil, the percentage content, and the retention indices of the constituents are summarized in Table I. A total of 52 volatile constituents were tentatively identified on the basis of their mass spectra, which were compared to those in the literature. The retention indices were calculated using a homologous series of n-alkanes C_6 – C_{25} . The peak areas of individual compounds were related to total peak areas of compounds detected by GC. Only the components with matches exceeding 80 %, which represented about 85.6 % of the essential oil from I. anisatum, were characterized. Eucalyptol (21.8 %), sabinene (5.3 %), α -terpinenyl acetate (4.9 %), kaurene (4.5 %), isopimaradiene (3.2 %), safrol (2.7 %), β -linalool (2.6 %), δ -cadinene (2.2 %), α -cadinol (2.2), and terpene-4-ol (1.9 %) were the main constituents of the essential oil of

Table I. Identified components in the essential oil of I. anisatum

α-Thujene 5.144 913.2 0.2 α-Pinene 5.293 916.8 1.8 Camphene 5.662 925.6 0.5 Sabinene 6.720 950.7 5.3 β-Myrcene 7.777 975.9 0.7 α-Terpinene 8.692 997.7 1.3 α-Cymene 8.912 1002.1 0.2 Eucalyptol 9.284 1008.6 21.8 Limonene 9.369 1010.1 1.1 ttrans-P-Ocimene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpineloe 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1	Constituent	Retention time (min)	Retention index	Peak area (%)
α-Pinene 5.293 916.8 1.8 Camphene 5.662 925.6 0.5 Sabinene 6.720 950.7 5.3 β-Myrcene 7.777 975.9 0.7 α-Terpinene 8.692 997.7 1.3 α-Cymene 8.912 1002.1 0.2 Eucalyptol 9.284 1008.6 21.8 Limonene 9.369 1010.1 1.1 trans-β-Ocimene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinelene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 128.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8	Toluene	2.142	782.7	1.2
Camphene 5.662 925.6 0.5 Sabinene 6.720 950.7 5.3 β-Myrcene 7.777 975.9 0.7 α-Terpinene 8.692 997.7 1.3 ο-Cymene 8.912 1002.1 0.2 Eucalyptol 9.284 1008.6 21.8 Limonene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinolene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.46 1318.9 4.	α-Thujene	5.144	913.2	0.2
Sabinene 6.720 950.7 5.3 β-Myrcene 7.777 975.9 0.7 α-Terpinene 8.692 997.7 1.3 ο-Cymene 8.912 1002.1 0.2 Eucalyptol 9.284 1008.6 21.8 Limonene 9.369 1010.1 1.1 trans-β-Ocimene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinolene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 115.62 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Pourbonene 30.121 1345.9	α-Pinene	5.293	916.8	1.8
β-Myrcene 7.777 975.9 0.7 α-Terpinene 8.692 997.7 1.3 ρ-Cymene 8.912 1002.1 0.2 Eucalyptol 9.284 1008.6 21.8 Limonene 9.369 1010.1 1.1 trans-β-Ocimene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinelene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2	Camphene	5.662	925.6	0.5
α-Terpinene 8.692 997.7 1.3 ο-Cymene 8.912 1002.1 0.2 Eucalyptol 9.284 1008.6 21.8 Limonene 9.369 1010.1 1.1 trans-β-Ocimene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinelene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalcol 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 </td <td>Sabinene</td> <td>6.720</td> <td>950.7</td> <td>5.3</td>	Sabinene	6.720	950.7	5.3
ο-Cymene 8.912 1002.1 0.2 Eucalyptol 9.284 1008.6 21.8 Limonene 9.369 1010.1 1.1 trans-β-Ocimene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinolene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 114.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145	β-Myrcene	7.777	975.9	0.7
Eucalyptol 9.284 1008.6 21.8 Limonene 9.369 1010.1 1.1 trans-β-Ocimene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinolene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 α-Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	α-Terpinene	8.692	997.7	1.3
Limonene 9.369 1010.1 1.1 trans-β-Ocimene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinolene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 α-Humulene 36.24 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 α-Amorphene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene αxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole Methoxyeugenol 55.80 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 55.80 0.5 Me	o-Cymene	8.912	1002.1	0.2
trans-β-Ocimene 10.631 1032.0 0.2 γ-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinolene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 143.36 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8<	Eucalyptol	9.284	1008.6	21.8
7-Terpinene 10.647 1032.3 2.0 α-Terpinolene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpineoyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Limonene	9.369	1010.1	1.1
α-Terpinolene 12.493 1064.3 0.6 β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 <td><i>trans-</i>β-Ocimene</td> <td>10.631</td> <td>1032.0</td> <td>0.2</td>	<i>trans-</i> β-Ocimene	10.631	1032.0	0.2
β-Linalool 13.455 1081.0 2.6 Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene οxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	γ-Terpinene	10.647	1032.3	2.0
Camphor 14.399 1097.4 0.3 Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.8	α-Terpinolene	12.493	1064.3	0.6
Terpene-4-ol 17.307 1143.3 1.9 α -Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β -Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α -Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α -Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β -Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β -Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α -Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α -Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	β-Linalool	13.455	1081.0	2.6
α-Terpineol 18.134 1156.2 1.0 Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol	Camphor	14.399	1097.4	0.3
Safrol 23.703 1243.7 2.7 Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene οxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Terpene-4-ol	17.307	1143.3	1.9
Bornyl acetate 24.171 1251.1 0.3 β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	α-Terpineol	18.134	1156.2	1.0
β-Phellandrene 26.248 1283.8 0.6 Eugenol 28.170 1314.5 0.8 α-Terpinenyl acetate 28.446 1318.9 4.9 α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Safrol	23.703	1243.7	2.7
Eugenol28.1701314.50.8α-Terpinenyl acetate28.4461318.94.9α-Copaene30.1211345.90.5β-Bourbonene30.3851350.20.3Eremophilene31.1451362.40.2β-Caryophyllene32.2471380.21.7α-Humulene34.1401409.60.4Germacrene D35.8001433.61.7Bicyclogermacrene36.8191448.30.5Germacrene A37.2321454.30.4α-Amorphene38.1041466.90.8δ-Cadinene39.1991482.72.2Caryophyllene oxide41.8651521.30.5Diethyl phthalate42.5471542.71.32,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole42.8681549.00.4Methoxyeugenol43.3361558.30.5	Bornyl acetate	24.171	1251.1	0.3
α-Terpinenyl acetate28.4461318.94.9α-Copaene30.1211345.90.5β-Bourbonene30.3851350.20.3Eremophilene31.1451362.40.2β-Caryophyllene32.2471380.21.7α-Humulene34.1401409.60.4Germacrene D35.8001433.61.7Bicyclogermacrene36.8191448.30.5Germacrene A37.2321454.30.4α-Amorphene38.1041466.90.8δ-Cadinene39.1991482.72.2Caryophyllene oxide41.8651521.30.5Diethyl phthalate42.5471542.71.32,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole42.8681549.00.4Methoxyeugenol43.3361558.30.5	β-Phellandrene	26.248	1283.8	0.6
α-Copaene 30.121 1345.9 0.5 β-Bourbonene 30.385 1350.2 0.3 Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Eugenol	28.170	1314.5	0.8
α-Copaene30.1211345.90.5β-Bourbonene30.3851350.20.3Eremophilene31.1451362.40.2β-Caryophyllene32.2471380.21.7α-Humulene34.1401409.60.4Germacrene D35.8001433.61.7Bicyclogermacrene36.8191448.30.5Germacrene A37.2321454.30.4α-Amorphene38.1041466.90.8δ-Cadinene39.1991482.72.2Caryophyllene oxide41.8651521.30.5Diethyl phthalate42.5471542.71.32,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole42.8681549.00.4Methoxyeugenol43.3361558.30.5	α-Terpinenyl acetate	28.446	1318.9	4.9
Eremophilene 31.145 1362.4 0.2 β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α-Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	α-Copaene	30.121	1345.9	0.5
β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α -Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α -Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	β-Bourbonene	30.385	1350.2	0.3
β-Caryophyllene 32.247 1380.2 1.7 α -Humulene 34.140 1409.6 0.4 Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α -Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Eremophilene	31.145	1362.4	0.2
Germacrene D 35.800 1433.6 1.7 Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	β-Caryophyllene	32.247	1380.2	1.7
Bicyclogermacrene 36.819 1448.3 0.5 Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	α-Humulene	34.140	1409.6	0.4
Germacrene A 37.232 1454.3 0.4 α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Germacrene D	35.800	1433.6	1.7
α-Amorphene 38.104 1466.9 0.8 δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Bicyclogermacrene	36.819	1448.3	0.5
δ-Cadinene 39.199 1482.7 2.2 Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Germacrene A	37.232	1454.3	0.4
Caryophyllene oxide 41.865 1521.3 0.5 Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	α-Amorphene	38.104	1466.9	0.8
Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	δ-Cadinene	39.199	1482.7	2.2
Diethyl phthalate 42.547 1542.7 1.3 2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Caryophyllene oxide	41.865	1521.3	0.5
2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole 42.868 1549.0 0.4 Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	Diethyl phthalate	42.547	1542.7	1.3
Methoxyeugenol 43.336 1558.3 0.5	2,3,5,6-Tetramethylanisole	42.868	1549.0	0.4
	Methoxyeugenol	43.336	1558.3	0.5
	Cubenene	43.625	1564.0	0.2

J. Y. Kim et al.: Chemical composition, antioxidant, anti-elastase, and anti-inflammatory activities of Illicium anisatum essential oil, Acta Pharm. 59 (2009) 289–300.

τ-Muurolol	45.198	1595.2	1.8
Copaene	45.349	1598.2	0.4
α -Cadinol	45.638	1605.9	2.2
2-Hydroxy-4-isopropyl-7-methoxytropone	48.387	1687.4	1.1
Isoeugenol E	48.575	1693.0	0.5
2-Methylthio-3,4-dihydronaphtho[2,1-c]thiophene	50.222	1757.4	0.6
6-Allyl-1,3-benzodioxol-5-ol	51.053	1791.2	0.4
3,6-Dimethoxy-2-ethylbenzalaldehyde	51.560	1813.0	2.7
Ent-pimara-8(14),15-diene	53.664	1907.8	2.8
Isopimaradiene	54.226	1936.3	3.2
Pimara-8(9),15-diene	54.733	1962.0	0.1
Kaurene	54.948	1972.9	4.5
Abietatriene	55.338	1992.7	0.2
2',3'-Dimethyl-2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobiphenyl	55.928	1999.2	1.4
Ferruginol	59.460	2221.4	0.1
		Total	85.6

The GC/MS retention indices were calculated using a homologous series of n-alkanes C_6 - C_{25} . Components were tentatively identified based on library and literature searches and only those components showing matches exceeding 80 % were selected.

I. anisatum. In contrast, the main compounds identified by Cook and Howard (10) were cineole (18.1 %), linalool (10.1 %), methyleugenol (9.8 %), α -terpinenyl acetate (6.8 %), and safrol (6.6 %). This difference in the main components may be due to the provenance of the plant, harvest time or development stage, extraction technique, or the use of fresh or dried plant material. All of these factors influence the chemical composition and biological activity.

Anti-elastase and antioxidant activities of the essential oil

IAE was investigated for elastase inhibition. In this assay, IAE produced more than 70 % inhibition at 2.5 mg mL⁻¹. In order to determine the IC_{50} value for IAE, we conducted experiments to assess the dose-response relationships. The IC_{50} value for IAE was 1.79 mg mL⁻¹. IAE was also investigated in a DPPH assay. In this assay, IAE had good antioxidant activity (IC_{50} , 3.83 mg mL⁻¹). These activities can be attributed to the presence of eucalyptol, sabinene, α-terpinenyl acetate, kaurene, isopimaradiene, safrol and β-linalool. Considering the different groups of chemical compounds present in IAE, it is most likely that the biological activity is attributable to a synergism between components. Eucalyptol and kaurene have been reported to have significant anti-oxidant activity (11–13).

Effects of IAE on LPS-induced NO and PGE₂ production and cell viability

To investigate the effect of IAE on NO production, we measured the accumulation of nitrite, a stable oxidized product of NO, in culture media. NO production was examined in RAW 264.7 cells stimulated with LPS for 24 h in the presence or absence of IAE.

Nitrite levels in LPS-stimulated cells increased significantly compared to control cells. As shown in Fig. 1a, IAE (25, 50 and $100\,\mu g\ mL^{-1}$) inhibited markedly and dose-dependently the LPS-induced NO production by RAW 264.7 cells. There was no basal NO production in cells incubated only with IAE without LPS (data not shown). The numbers of viable activated macrophages were not altered by IAE as determined by LDH assays, indicating that the inhibition of NO synthesis by IAE was not simply due to cytotoxic effects.

We further examined the effects of IAE on PGE_2 production in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 macrophages. When macrophages were stimulated with LPS (1 μg mL⁻¹) for 24 h, the levels of PGE_2 increased in the culture medium. As shown in Fig. 1b, IAE (25, 50, and 100 μg mL⁻¹) suppressed the LPS-induced PGE_2 production in a dose-dependent manner.

Effects of IAE on LPS-induced iNOS and COX-2 protein and mRNA expressions

Western blot and RT-PCR analyses were performed to determine whether the inhibitory effects of IAE on pro-inflammatory mediators (NO and PGE₂) were related to the modulation of iNOS and COX-2 expression. In unstimulated RAW 264.7 cells, iNOS and COX-2 protein and mRNA were not detected, but LPS remarkably upregulated their pro-




Fig. 1. Inhibitory effect of IAE on cell viability and nitric oxide and PGE₂ production in RAW 264.7 cells. Values are the mean \pm SEM of triplicate experiments. a) p < 0.05; b) p < 0.01.

tein levels and pre-treatment with IAE inhibited these upregulations. On the other hand, IAE did not affect the expression of β -actin, a housekeeping gene. As shown in Fig. 2a, IAE reduced the expression of iNOS protein in a dose-dependent manner. Since the amounts of iNOS protein correlated with NO accumulation, these results suggest that IAE inhibited NO production by reducing the iNOS protein expression. We also found that the amount of the 72-kDa COX-2 protein was increased by LPS and this increase was dose-dependently antagonized by the IAE (at 20, 50, and 100 μg mL⁻¹).

To further determine whether the inhibition of LPS-stimulated NO and PGE $_2$ production by IAE was mediated by the regulation of iNOS and COX-2 expression, RT-PCR analyses were performed. As shown in Fig. 2b, the expressions of iNOS and COX-2 mRNA were significantly elevated in macrophages treated with LPS (1 μg mL $^{-1}$) compared to unstimulated cells (control). RT-PCR analyses revealed that IAE reduced iNOS and COX-2 mRNA without affecting the mRNA of β -actin, a housekeeping protein. The IAE also reduced the expression of iNOS and COX-2 protein in a dose-dependent manner. In general, these results indicate that the inhibitory effects of IAE on LPS-induced NO and PGE $_2$ production are caused by iNOS and COX-2 suppression. Furthermore, the RT-PCR analyses indicate that mRNA levels of iNOS and COX-2 correlate with their protein levels. Therefore, the inhibitory effect of IAE on iNOS and COX-2 gene expression appears to be one of the mechanisms of the anti-inflammatory action of IAE. In conclusion, IAE actively suppresses the expression of genes implicated in inflammation.

Cytotoxicity assay of IAE

We examined the cytotoxic effects of IAE on human dermal fibroblasts and keratinocyte HaCaT cells. The essential oil exhibited low cytotoxicity in both fibroblast and




Fig. 2. Inhibitory effect of IAE on: a) protein and b) mRNA expression of iNOS and COX-2 in RAW 264.7 cells.

HaCaT cells at concentrations below 100 μg mL⁻¹ (Fig. 3). Based on these results, we have concluded that IAE may be introduced as a possible therapeutic agent for skin health.




Fig. 3. Cell viabilities of: a) human normal fibroblast and b) HaCaT cells treated with IAE.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we demonstrated that IAE has good antioxidant, anti-elastase and anti-inflammatory effects and low cytotoxicity in human cell lines. Therefore, according to these results, we suggest that IAE may be employed as an effective therapeutic agent to promote skin health. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report providing a scientific basis for the cosmetic use of IAE.

Acknowledgments. – This research was supported by the Regional Technology Innovation Program (RTI04-02-07), which is managed by the Ministry of Knowledge and Economy, Korea.

REFERENCES

- P. Minodier, P. Pommier, E. Moulène, K. Retornaz, N. Prost and L. Deharo, Star anise poisoning in infants, Arch. Pediatr. 10 (2003) 619–621; DOI: 10.1016/S0929-693X(03)00274-4.
- 2. H. Y. Kim and J. H. Oh, Screening of Korean forest plants for rat lens aldose reductase inhibition, *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 63 (1999) 184–188; DOI: 10.1271/bbb.63.184.
- 3. H. Y. Kim and K. Kim, Protein glycation inhibitory and antioxidative activities of some plant extracts *in vitro*, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **51** (2003) 1586-1591; DOI: 10.1021/jf020850t.
- 4. H. Y. Kim and M. H. Kang, Screening of Korean medicinal plants for lipase inhibitory activity, *Phytother. Res.* **19** (2005) 359–361; DOI: 10.1002/ptr.1592.
- M. Shibuya, K. Abe, Y. Nakahashi and S. Kubota, Phenolic components from leaf oil of *Illicium anisatum L., Chem. Pharm. Bull.* 26 (1978) 2671–2673; DOI: 10.1248/cpb.26.2671.
- Y. Kudo, J. I. Oka and K. Yamada, Anisatin, a potent GABA antagonist, isolated from *Illicium anisatum*, Neurosci. Lett. 25 (1981) 83–88; DOI: 10.1016/0304-3940(81)90105-1.
- 7. J. Y. Kor and D. W. Choi, Quantitative determination of glutamate mediated cortical neuronal injury in cell culture by lactate dehydrogenase efflux assay, *J. Neurosci. Meth.* **20** (1987) 83–89; DOI: 10.1016/0165-0270(87)90041-0.
- M. Fernández, J. C. Ríos, A. Jos and G. Repetto, Comparative cytotoxicity of alachlor on RTG-2 trout and SH-SY5Y human cells, *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 51 (2006) 515–520; DOI: 10.1007/ s00244-005-0086-8.
- 9. M. M. Bradford, A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding, *Anal. Biochem.* **72** (1976) 248–254; DOI: 10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3.
- 10. W. B. Cook and A. S. Howard, The essential oil of *Illicium anisatum* Linn., *Can. J. Chem.* **44** (1966) 2461–2464; DOI: 10.1139/v66-371.
- N. Mimica-Dukić, B. Bozin, M. Soković, B. Mihajlović and M. Matavulj, Antimicrobial and antioxidant activities of three Mentha species essential oils, *Planta Med.* 69 (2003) 413–419; DOI: 10.1055/ s-2003-39704.
- 12. S. Kordali, A. Cakir, A. Mavi, H. Kilic and A. Yildirim, Screening of chemical composition and antifungal and antioxidant activities of the essential oils from three Turkish artemisia species, *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **53** (2005) 1408–1416; DOI: 10.1021/jf048429n.
- R. Thirugnanasampandan, R. Jayakumar, V. Narmatha Bai, E. Martin and K. J. Rajendra Prasad, Antiacetylcholinesterase and antioxidant ent-Kaurene diterpenoid, melissoidesin from *Isodon wightii* (Bentham) H. Hara, *Nat. Prod. Res.* 22 (2008) 681–688; DOI: 10.1080/14786410801990625.

$SA\check{Z}ETAK$

Kemijski sastav, antioksidativno djelovanje, inhibicija elastaze i protuupalno djelovanje eteričnog ulja biljke *Illicium anisatum*

JI-YOUNG KIM, SANG-SUK KIM, TAE-HEON OH, JONG SEOK BAIK, GWANPIL SONG, NAM HO LEE I CHANG-GU HYUN

Eterično ulje biljke *Illicium anisatum* dobiveno destilacijom vodenom parom analizirano je plinskom kromatografijom-spektrometrijom masa (GC-MS). Identificirane su pedeset i dvije komponente eteričnog ulja, a glavna komponenta je eukaliptol (21,8 %). Ispitivanje antioksidativnog djelovanja te djelovanja na elastazu ukazuju na umjerenu spo-

sobnost hvatanja DPPH radikala i inhibicije elastaze. Kako bi se objasnio mehanizam protuupalnog djelovanja eteričnog ulja *I. anisatum* (IAE), ispitan je učinak na moduliranje produkcije dušikovog(II) oksida (NO) i prostaglandina E₂ (PGE₂) iz aktiviranih makrofaga. Rezultati ukazuju da je IAE učinkovit inhibitor LPS-inducirane produkcije NO i PGE₂ u RAW 264.7 stanicama. Inhibitorno djelovanje popraćeno je smanjenjem ekspresije iNOS i COX-2 proteina i iNOS i COX-2 mRNA. Kako bi se odredilo može li se IAE sigurno primijeniti na ljudsku kožu, citotoksični učinci IAE određeni su kolorimetrijskim MTT testom u humanim dermalnim fibroblastima i keratinocitima HaCaT. IAE je pokazao nisku citotoksičnost pri koncentraciji 100 μg mL⁻¹. Temeljem ovih rezultata IAE se može smatrati potencijalnim sredstvom protiv starenja i protuupalnim sredstvom u kozmetičkim pripravcima. Međutim, dodatni *in vitro* i *in vivo* testovi nužni su za potvrdu njegove sigurnosti i učinkovitosti.

Ključne riječi: Illicium anisatum, Illicium religiosum, Illicium japonicum, kemijski sastav, kozmetika, DPPH, elastaza, upala

Research Group for Cosmetic Materials, Jeju Biodiversity Research Institute (JBRI), Jeju Hi-Tech Industry Development Institute (HiDI), Jeju 699-943, Korea

Department of Chemistry, Cheju National University, Jeju 690-756, Korea