https://doi.org/10.2478/acph-2025-0024

Original research paper

SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins affect the expression of *IL-8* and *TNF-α* cytokines and *APOBEC* genes in human lung A549 and liver Huh-7 cells

MARTINA BERGANT MARUŠIČ¹

MARGARIDA COSTA²

ŠPELA TURK²

NIKA LOVŠIN^{2,*}

¹ University of Nova Gorica, 5000 Nova Gorica, Slovenia

² University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Pharmacy, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

* Correspondence; e-mail: marija.nika.lovsin@ffa.uni-lj.si

ABSTRACT

The Apolipoprotein B mRNA editing catalytic polypeptide-like (APOBEC) proteins belong to a family of cytidine deaminases responsible for DNA and RNA sequence editing, playing pivotal roles in a wide range of biological processes, including immune responses, antiviral properties, and genetic mutations. In this work, we investigated the effect of SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins - Envelope (E), Spike (S), Nucleocapsid (N), Membrane (M) and protein ORF6 - on the expression of cytokines Interleukin 8 (IL-8) and Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha (TNF- α), and APOBEC3s proteins (APOBEC3B, APOBEC3F and APOBEC3G) genes in Huh-7 and A549 human cell lines. While there is plenty of scientific evidence about the effects of SARS-CoV-2 on the inflammatory cascade, the current literature regarding the impact of SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins and the host immune response, as certain viral structural proteins (S, M, E) modulate cytokines expression, potentially contributing to the dysregulated immune responses seen in COVID-19 patients. Additionally, our research uncovered interactions between viral proteins and *APOBEC* genes. This study contributes to a better understanding of the host-virus

interactions in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection and provides some insights into potential therapeutic targets for mitigating the immunopathological consequences of the disease.

Keywords: APOBEC, SARS-CoV-2, nucleocapsid, spike protein, envelope protein, A3B, A3F, IL-8, TNF- α

Accepted June 12, 2025 Published online June 13, 2025

INTRODUCTION

APOBEC proteins are cytidine deaminases capable of DNA and RNA editing. They form apolipoprotein B mRNA editing complex polypeptide 1 -like (APOBEC) family of enzymes. APOBEC proteins were discovered in all vertebrates where they represent innate immune response immunity against endogenous and exogenous retroelements [1]. APOBEC3 (A3) proteins belong to APOBEC family of enzymes. APOBEC3 proteins are antiviral proteins that inhibit replication of retroviruses [1], herpesviruses, small DNA viruses [2] and RNA viruses including coronaviruses (CoVs) [3, 4]. Initially, A3s were discovered as antiviral factors in HIV-1 infection, but later they were identified as general antiviral factors that block replication of a variety of viruses and retrotransposons [5-7]. The most studied antiviral A3 action is inhibition of HIV-1 replication [6]. Several groups have shown that A3F and A3G restrict HIV-1 viral replication by incorporation into the virions via interactions with viral nucleocapsid (NC) and viral RNA [5, 8]. After viral infection of the target cells and uncoating, A3s localize to viral RNA and cause excessive C to U mutations during reverse transcription [9]. Intriguingly, APOBEC mutation pattern (G to A mutations) was discovered in numerous DNA and RNA viruses and retrotransposons [10]. Notably, we were the first to identify G to A editing in retroelements of non-mammalian vertebrates which suggested that APOBEC proteins predate mammals [11]. Several APOBEC proteins were shown to edit C to U in RNA molecules in vitro [10, 12]. Traces of C to U editing in SARS-CoV-2 virus indicate that APOBECs cause mutations also in SARS-CoV-2 [3, 10, 13]. Although most of knowledge surrounding APOBEC family of cytidine deaminases and viral restriction comes from the study of retroviruses, herpesvirus and small DNA viruses, recent evidence showed that APOBEC3 enzymes can also deaminate RNA viral genomes, such as the positive-sense RNA genome of the beta-coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 [10, 14, 15]. Analyses of SARS-CoV-2 genomic sequence data report a prevalence of C-to-U directional transitions in the genome of variants emerging during the COVID-19 pandemic [16]. Consequently, the locations of C-to-U transitions were loosely associated with the base and structure context of RNA deamination favored by APOBEC3 proteins [16](31,46), which suggests that APOBEC-mediated RNA editing could be a potential antiviral mechanism against RNA viruses [3]. Although APOBEC-mediated anti-coronaviral activity may be plausible in virological terms, additional studies are essential to clarify APOBEC editing of SARS coronaviruses as well as how CoVs may antagonize these deaminases [3, 17].

SARS-CoV-2 has roughly spherical or ellipsoidal capsid whose outer surface is covered with the surface spike proteins (S). The outer membrane of the virus contains also the membrane protein (M) and the envelope protein (E), while the lumen of the virion contains the ribonucleoprotein complexes (RNP) consisting of the nucleocapsid protein (N) and the viral RNA genome [18]. The spike protein (S) of SARS-CoV-2 is important for viral internalisation, but it can also induce proinflammatory cytokines in human and mouse macrophages, including IL-6, IL-1 β , TNF α , CXCL1, CXCL2, and CCL2, indicating its important role in promoting inflammation [19]. The S1 subunit of the spike protein promotes the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines by activation of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) signalling in macrophages (19), as well as activation of MAPK and NF- κ B signaling pathways in human lung and intestinal epithelial cells, with the latter resulting in increased production of IL-1 β , IL-6, and IL-8, which are central to the cytokine storm observed in severe COVID-19 cases [20]. Structural proteins of SARS-CoV-2 can cross the blood-brain barrier and act as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), triggering neuroinflammatory responses via Toll-like receptors (TLRs). This mechanism may contribute to the neurological and neuropsychiatric symptoms observed in COVID-19 patients [21].

Here, we investigated the impact of the SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins Envelope (E), Spike (S), Nucleocapsid (N), Membrane (M) and the protein ORF6 on the expression of inflammatory cytokines and APOBEC proteins in two different cell models that are permissive for SARS-CoV-2, human lung epithelial cells A549 and hepatocyte-derived Huh-7 cell line. A549 were chosen because infection with SARS-CoV-2 is associated with lower respiratory tract inflammation, while Huh-7 is particularly interesting due to its liver origin. The incidence of liver damage in COVID-19 patients is up to 53 % and the liver has been identified as one of the main target organs for SARS-CoV-2 [22]. In our study, we observed that overexpression of spike and envelope proteins in particular resulted in elevated levels of *IL-8*, *TNF-* α as well as *A3F* and *A3B* in the Huh-7 liver cell line, while the A549 lung epithelial cell line was much less affected.

Methods

Cell culturing

Human lung cancer A549 and hepatocyte-derived cellular carcinoma cell line Huh-7 were cultured as described previously [23]. Briefly, cells were cultured in cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% glutamine, 1% antimycotic/antibiotic (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) at 37°C, 5% CO₂ and subcultured at 80 % confluency.

Cell transfections

One day before transfection cells were seeded at density 1x10⁵/well in 12 well plates without antibiotics. The next day, 500 ng of respective plasmid (pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-M-2xStrep-IRES-Puro, pLVX-EF1alpha-eGFP-2xStrep-IRES-Puro, pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-S-2xStrep-IRES-Puro, pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-E-2xStrep-IRES-Puro, pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-N-2xStrep-IRES-Puro, pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-orf6-2xStrep-IRES-Puro (AddgeneTM) was transfected using 1,5 µl PolyJetTM In Vitro DNA Transfection Reagent (SignaGen Laboratories).

RNA isolation and quantitative PCR

Expression of target genes was analysed in RNA samples obtained from A549 or Huh-7 cells transfected with a respective plasmid. RNA isolation was performed 48 h after transfection using PeqGold TOTAL RNA isolation kit (PeqLab, Germany) and reverse transcribed using High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription kits (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The expression of target genes and housekeeping gene actin beta was measured by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using primers from Table 1. For qPCR, $5\times$ Hot FirePol EvaGreen qPCR Mix Plus (Solis, BioDyne, Tartu, Estonia) was used, following the manufacturer recommendations, on a LightCycler 480 (Roche Diagnostics). Cycling conditions were set at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95 °C for 10 s and 62 °C for 35 s, followed by a melting curve analysis. All the samples were diluted to the final concentration of 2.5 ng/µL. All of the samples were quantified in triplicate. Gene expression was calculated using standard curve method. Target gene expression was normalised with an actin beta (ACTB) housekeeping gene. The gene expression data are represented as normalized value to gene expression level in a control sample that was transfected with plasmid backbone containing only GFP coding region. This normalization allowed minimization of variations between different biological repeats.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, USA). All qPCR experiments were performed in triplicates. Expression of genes of interest was corrected with the housekeeping gene actin- β using standard curve method. Data are presented as means \pm SEM. Statistical significance was determined using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's post-hoc tests. P values lower than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Gene	Forward (F)	Reverse (R)
(human)	(5'-3')	(5'-3')
ACTB	CTTCGCGGGCGACGAT	AATCCTTCTGACCCATGCCC
IL-8	GAGAGTGATTGAGAGTGGACCAC	CACAACCCTCTGCACCCAGTTT

Table 1. List of primers:

TNF-α	TGGCCCAGGCAGTCAGATCA	GGCGGTTCAGCCACTGGAGC
A3B	GACCCTTTGGTCCTTCGAC	GCACAGCCCCAGGAGAAG
A3F	CCGTTTGGACGCAAAGAT	CCAGGTGATCTGGAAACACTT

Results and discussion

SARS-CoV-2 ORF6, M, S and E differentially modulate cytokine expression in A549 and Huh-7 cells

Clinical features of COVID-19 patients include elevated cytokine levels, and one of the possible explanations is the activation of the NF-kB signalling pathway triggered by SARS-Cov-2 infection. To investigate the effect of SARS-COV-2 structural proteins on the level of two inflammatory cytokines, *IL-8* and *TNF-\alpha*, A549 lung or Huh-7 liver cells were transfected with the respective structural protein (S, M, N, E and ORF6) or a GFP control plasmid and 48 hours after transfection the level of the cytokines was measured by Q-PCR. To exclude inter-experimental deviations, gene expression was always normalised to a control GFP plasmid. In Huh-7 cells, IL-8 was generally upregulated in the presence of all tested structural proteins. Protein M led to a 1.38-fold (p = 0.003), protein S to a 2.81fold (p = 2.88×10^{-8}) and protein E to a 2.0-fold (p = 0.004) increase in the expression level of *IL*-8 compared to a control GFP plasmid. In Huh-7 cells, ORF6 had no significant effect on the expression of IL-8, whereas in A549 cells, overexpression of the structural proteins did not modulate the expression of *IL-8*, with the exception of ORF6. The expression of ORF6 caused a 0.44-fold change (p = 0.0008) compared to a control GFP protein, indicating that ORF6 decreases a proinflammatory cytokine IL-8 in A549 cells. Indeed, ORF6 was previously shown to be the strongest antagonist of interferon expression and could suppress interferon signaling [24]. Protein N did not affect expression of IL-8 in any of the tested cell lines.

In Huh-7 cells, overexpression of structural proteins led also to increased expression of *TNF-a*. Protein M caused a 1.45-fold, protein S a 5.35-fold (p = 0.049) and protein E a 2.61-fold increase in *TNF-a* expression compared to cells transfected with a GFP protein. Notably, only protein S caused significant upregulation of *TNF-a* in Huh-7 cells (Figure 1 D). Similar to *IL-8*, the A549 cell line showed no significant changes in the expression of *TNF-a* after transfection with SARS-COV-2 structural proteins. In contrast, ORF6 had no inhibitory effect on the expression of *TNF-a* in any of the cell lines tested.



Figure 1. Overexpression of SARS-CoV-2 S, E, M proteins upregulates IL-8 and TNF- α expression. Huh-7 and A459 cells were transfected with a respective structural protein and the level of mRNA was measured 48 h after transfection. *IL-8* expression in A549 (A) and Huh-7 (B) cells. *TNF-\alpha* expression in A549 (C) and Huh-7 (D) cells. Representative images of the control GFP transfection (plasmid pLVX-EF1alpha-SARS-CoV-2-GFP-2xStrep-IRES-Puro) of A549 (E) and Huh-7 (F) cells.

All data are presented as mean relative gene expressions after normalisation with β -actin expression. The results are expressed as the mean \pm SEM. * - p < 0.05, ** - p < 0.01. Scale bar 400 μ m.

According to a previous study by Chaolin Huang and colleagues [25], the clinical and laboratory features of COVID-19 patients showed that IL-8 is more elevated in severe cases than in non-severe cases of the viral infection. Clinical and immunological characterization of the virus, using cytokine and chemokine measurement, showed that TNF- α levels were also markedly elevated in severe cases [25, 26]. One possible mechanism is the activation of the NF- κ B signalling pathway by the SARS-Cov-2 S protein, which was observed in mouse and human macrophages [19]. Here we show that the structural protein S, but also E and M can lead to increased cytokine levels in liver cells, which are also a host for viral replication, confirming and extending previous studies.

Structural proteins and their effect on APOBEC expression

Analyses of SARS-CoV-2 genomic sequence data revealed a prevalence of C-to-U directional transitions in the genome of variants emerging during the COVID-19 pandemic. Consequently, C-to-U transitions were associated with the base and structure context of RNA deamination favored by APOBEC3 proteins [10, 15], which suggests that APOBEC-mediated RNA editing could be a potential antiviral mechanism against SARS-CoV-2 virus [3].

Here, we examined how the expression level of APOBECs changes upon expression of SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins. The overexpression of APOBECs is a prerequisite for their mutational activity. To examine the effect of structural proteins on the expression level of APOBECs, Huh-7 and A549 cells were transfected with structural proteins and 48 h after transfection the level of APOBEC expression was evaluated by Q-PCR. In Huh-7 cells, overexpression of SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins M, S and E caused upregulation of A3B, 1.35-fold (p = 0.0005), 2.18-fold (p = 0.0001), 2.11-fold (p = 0.003), respectively. Similarly, the overexpression of structural proteins caused increase in the A3F levels. Relative to cells transfected with GFP, A3F levels were 1.53-fold higher in cells transfected with protein M, 1.57-fold higher (p = 0.018) in cells transfected with protein S, and 1.52-, 1.55- (p = 0.0001) and 1.5-fold (p = 0.0008) higher in cells transfected with proteins E, N and ORF6, respectively (Figure 2). IIn A549 cells, envelope protein (E) expression caused lower expression of A3F (0.43-fold (p = 0.007)), however no significant differences in A3B level were observed (Figure 2).

Altogether, our results suggest that SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins, in particular S, M and E cause an upregulation of *A3F* and *A3B* expression in liver Huh-7 cells. Similar to cytokine expression, *A3* proteins were much less affected in A459 cells, suggesting that different host cells respond differently to the presence of SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins.

The increase in APOBEC expression correlates with the increase in cytokine level suggesting that the infection with SARS-CoV-2 could trigger the elevated levels of APOBECs, as APOBECs are interferon- and cytokine-inducible proteins.

In addition, recent studies suggest that expression of APOBEC family genes is often increased during viral infection. Based on that, we expected that expression of SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins would increase the expression level of APOBEC genes also in lung and liver cell lines [1, 27]. Human APOBEC3s cytidine deaminases might play a critical role in the induction of mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 genome (60). The A3 family members, especially A3A and A3B, are intrinsic mutators of chromosomal DNA, and A3G represents a potent inhibitor of retrovirus replication [12, 28-31]. However, Yoshihiro Nakata and colleagues [28] reported recently that A3B did not have a relevant effect on the mutation rate in the SARS-CoV-2 genome, which means that the cytidine deaminase expression doesn't necessarily correlate with its mutational activity.





To summarise, our study shows an increased expression of cytokines and APOBEC3s after exposure to the SARS-CoV-2 structural proteins. This effect was predominantly observed in hepatic Huh-7 host cells, whereas A549 lung epithelial cells exhibited a comparatively weaker response. Our results show that although SARS-CoV-2 can infect multiple organs and exhibits broad cell tropism [32], the consequences of infection are highly cell-dependent.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge the financial support from the Slovenian Research Agency (project No. J3-2518 and programs No. P1-0034 and P4-0127)

References:

1. Harris RS, Dudley JP. APOBECs and virus restriction. Virology. 2015;479-480:131-45. Epub 2015/03/31. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2015.03.012. PubMed PMID: 25818029; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4424171.

2. Lovšin N, Gangupam B, Bergant Marušič M. The Intricate Interplay between APOBEC3 Proteins and DNA Tumour Viruses. Pathogens. 2024;13(3):187. PubMed PMID: doi:10.3390/pathogens13030187.

3. Simmonds P. Rampant C-->U Hypermutation in the Genomes of SARS-CoV-2 and Other Coronaviruses: Causes and Consequences for Their Short- and Long-Term Evolutionary Trajectories. mSphere. 2020;5(3). Epub 2020/06/26. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00408-20. PubMed PMID: 32581081; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7316492.

4. Wang SM, Wang CT. APOBEC3G cytidine deaminase association with coronavirus nucleocapsid protein. Virology. 2009;388(1):112-20. Epub 2009/04/07. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2009.03.010. PubMed PMID: 19345973; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7103413.
5. Zheng YH, Lovsin N, Peterlin BM. Newly identified host factors modulate HIV replication. Immunol Lett. 2005;97(2):225-34. Epub 2005/03/09. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2004.11.026. PubMed PMID: 15752562.

6. Chiu YL, Greene WC. The APOBEC3 cytidine deaminases: an innate defensive network opposing exogenous retroviruses and endogenous retroelements. Annu Rev Immunol. 2008;26:317-53. Epub 2008/02/29. doi: 10.1146/annurev.immunol.26.021607.090350. PubMed PMID: 18304004.

7. Okeoma CM, Lovsin N, Peterlin BM, Ross SR. APOBEC3 inhibits mouse mammary tumour virus replication in vivo. Nature. 2007;445(7130):927-30. Epub 2007/01/30. doi: 10.1038/nature05540. PubMed PMID: 17259974.

8. Zheng YH, Irwin D, Kurosu T, Tokunaga K, Sata T, Peterlin BM. Human APOBEC3F is another host factor that blocks human immunodeficiency virus type 1 replication. J Virol. 2004;78(11):6073-6. PubMed PMID: 15141007.

9. Mangeat B, Turelli P, Caron G, Friedli M, Perrin L, Trono D. Broad antiretroviral defence by human APOBEC3G through lethal editing of nascent reverse transcripts. Nature. 2003;424(6944):99-103. Epub 2003/06/17. doi: 10.1038/nature01709. PubMed PMID: 12808466.

10. Ratcliff J, Simmonds P. Potential APOBEC-mediated RNA editing of the genomes of SARS-CoV-2 and other coronaviruses and its impact on their longer term evolution. Virology. 2021;556:62-72. Epub 2021/02/06. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2020.12.018. PubMed PMID: 33545556; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7831814.

11. Lindic N, Budic M, Petan T, Knisbacher BA, Levanon EY, Lovsin N. Differential inhibition of LINE1 and LINE2 retrotransposition by vertebrate AID/APOBEC proteins. Retrovirology. 2013;10:156. Epub 2013/12/19. doi: 10.1186/1742-4690-10-156. PubMed PMID: 24344916; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3880073.

12. Sharma S, Patnaik SK, Taggart RT, Kannisto ED, Enriquez SM, Gollnick P, et al. APOBEC3A cytidine deaminase induces RNA editing in monocytes and macrophages. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6881. Epub 2015/04/22. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7881. PubMed PMID: 25898173; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4411297.

13. Simmonds P, Ansari MA. Extensive C->U transition biases in the genomes of a wide range of mammalian RNA viruses; potential associations with transcriptional mutations, damage- or host-mediated editing of viral RNA. PLoS Pathog. 2021;17(6):e1009596. Epub 2021/06/02. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1009596. PubMed PMID: 34061905; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8195396.

14. Pecori R, Di Giorgio S, Paulo Lorenzo J, Nina Papavasiliou F. Functions and consequences of AID/APOBEC-mediated DNA and RNA deamination. Nature Reviews Genetics. 2022;23(8):505-18. doi: 10.1038/s41576-022-00459-8.

15. Cervantes-Gracia K, Gramalla-Schmitz A, Weischedel J, Chahwan R. APOBECs orchestrate genomic and epigenomic editing across health and disease. Trends Genet. 2021;37(11):1028-43. Epub 2021/08/07. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2021.07.003. PubMed PMID: 34353635.

16. Markov PV, Ghafari M, Beer M, Lythgoe K, Simmonds P, Stilianakis NI, et al. The evolution of SARS-CoV-2. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2023;21(6):361-79. doi: 10.1038/s41579-023-00878-2.

17. Kim K, Calabrese P, Wang S, Qin C, Rao Y, Feng P, et al. The roles of APOBEC-mediated RNA editing in SARS-CoV-2 mutations, replication and fitness. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):14972. Epub 20220913. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-19067-x. PubMed PMID: 36100631; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9470679.

18. Hardenbrook NJ, Zhang P. A structural view of the SARS-CoV-2 virus and its assembly. Curr Opin Virol. 2022;52:123-34. Epub 20211204. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2021.11.011. PubMed PMID: 34915287; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8642146.

19. Khan S, Shafiei MS, Longoria C, Schoggins JW, Savani RC, Zaki H. SARS-CoV-2 spike protein induces inflammation via TLR2-dependent activation of the NF-κB pathway. Elife. 2021;10. Epub 20211206. doi: 10.7554/eLife.68563. PubMed PMID: 34866574; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8709575.

20. Forsyth CB, Zhang L, Bhushan A, Swanson B, Zhang L, Mamede JI, et al. The SARS-CoV-2 S1 Spike Protein Promotes MAPK and NF-kB Activation in Human Lung Cells and Inflammatory Cytokine Production in Human Lung and Intestinal Epithelial Cells. Microorganisms. 2022;10(10). Epub 20221010. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10101996. PubMed PMID: 36296272; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9607240.

Frank MG, Fleshner M, Maier SF. Exploring the immunogenic properties of SARS-CoV-2 21. structural proteins: PAMP:TLR signaling in the mediation of the neuroinflammatory and neurologic sequelae of COVID-19. Brain Behav Immun. 2023;111:259-69. Epub 20230427. doi: 10.1016/j.bbi.2023.04.009. PubMed PMID: 37116592; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC10132835. Wanner N, Andrieux G, Badia IMP, Edler C, Pfefferle S, Lindenmeyer MT, et al. Molecular 22. consequences of SARS-CoV-2 liver tropism. Nat Metab. 2022;4(3):310-9. Epub 20220328. doi: 10.1038/s42255-022-00552-6. PubMed PMID: 35347318; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8964418.

23. Lovšin N, Marc J. Glucocorticoid Receptor Regulates TNFSF11 Transcription by Binding to Glucocorticoid Responsive Element in TNFSF11 Proximal Promoter Region. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(3). Epub 20210121. doi: 10.3390/ijms22031054. PubMed PMID: 33494362; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7865994.

24. Yuen CK, Lam JY, Wong WM, Mak LF, Wang X, Chu H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 nsp13, nsp14, nsp15 and orf6 function as potent interferon antagonists. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020;9(1):1418-28. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2020.1780953. PubMed PMID: 32529952; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7473193.

25. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020;395(10223):497-506. Epub 20200124. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30183-5. PubMed PMID: 31986264; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7159299.

26. Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, Zhang S, Yang S, Tao Y, et al. Dysregulation of Immune Response in Patients With Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020;71(15):762-8. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa248. PubMed PMID: 32161940; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7108125.

27. Yang H, Rao Z. Structural biology of SARS-CoV-2 and implications for therapeutic development. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021;19(11):685-700. Epub 20210917. doi: 10.1038/s41579-021-00630-8. PubMed PMID: 34535791; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC8447893.

28. Nakata Y, Ode H, Kubota M, Kasahara T, Matsuoka K, Sugimoto A, et al. Cellular APOBEC3A deaminase drives mutations in the SARS-CoV-2 genome. Nucleic acids research. 2023;51(2):783-95. Epub 2023/01/08. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac1238. PubMed PMID: 36610792; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC9881129.

29. Jalili P, Bowen D, Langenbucher A, Park S, Aguirre K, Corcoran RB, et al. Quantification of ongoing APOBEC3A activity in tumor cells by monitoring RNA editing at hotspots. Nat Commun.

2020;11(1):2971. Epub 20200612. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-16802-8. PubMed PMID: 32532990; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7293259.

30. Law EK, Levin-Klein R, Jarvis MC, Kim H, Argyris PP, Carpenter MA, et al. APOBEC3A catalyzes mutation and drives carcinogenesis in vivo. J Exp Med. 2020;217(12). doi: 10.1084/jem.20200261. PubMed PMID: 32870257; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7953736.

31. Burns MB, Lackey L, Carpenter MA, Rathore A, Land AM, Leonard B, et al. APOBEC3B is an enzymatic source of mutation in breast cancer. Nature. 2013;494(7437):366-70. doi: 10.1038/nature11881.

32. Liu J, Li Y, Liu Q, Yao Q, Wang X, Zhang H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell tropism and multiorgan infection. Cell Discov. 2021;7(1):17. Epub 20210323. doi: 10.1038/s41421-021-00249-2. PubMed PMID: 33758165; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7987126.